

EdTech for Equity: Bridging the Digital Divide in Remote Education Through Interdisciplinary Collaboration

Digvijay Pandey¹

¹ Department of Technical Education, India; digit11011989@gmail.com

Article history

Submitted: 2025/01/14;

Revised: 2025/02/23;

Accepted: 2025/03/29

Abstract

The COVID-19 pandemic highlighted the urgent need to bridge the digital divide in education, particularly in remote and marginalized communities. This study explores the role of interdisciplinary collaboration in addressing this challenge, focusing on how cross-sector partnerships can create equitable and culturally relevant digital learning solutions. Using a qualitative approach, including case studies, semi-structured interviews, and focus group discussions, data were collected from stakeholders in Southeast Asia involved in EdTech initiatives. The findings reveal that successful interdisciplinary collaborations depend on shared goals, cultural responsiveness, and the active involvement of educators, technologists, and local communities. Challenges such as misaligned institutional priorities and technological barriers were identified, but intermediary organizations were found to play a crucial role in overcoming these issues. The study concludes that interdisciplinary collaboration is key to creating sustainable and inclusive digital education systems, offering practical insights for future EdTech initiatives. This research contributes to the literature by providing a framework for fostering effective cross-sectoral partnerships and advancing digital equity.

Keywords

Digital Divide, Digital Equity, EdTech, Inclusive Education, Remote Education.



© 2025 by the authors. This is an open-access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY SA) license, <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/>.

INTRODUCTION

The rapid shift to remote education during the COVID-19 pandemic exposed long-standing disparities in access to quality education across various socio-economic and geographic contexts. As schools around the world were forced to adopt digital technologies to continue instruction, the inequities in technological access, digital literacy, and infrastructure became more pronounced (Chen et al., 2021). While educational technology (EdTech) provided a lifeline for many, it simultaneously magnified the digital divide for marginalized communities, especially those in rural, low-income, or under-resourced areas (Ramlah et al., 2022). This experience catalyzed global recognition of the urgent need to ensure equitable access to digital tools, platforms, and competencies not only during emergencies but as a permanent fixture of inclusive and resilient education systems (O'Connor et al., 2023).

The central problem that emerges is not merely the availability of digital devices or internet connectivity, but the broader systemic inequities that affect how technology is integrated into learning environments. These include disparities in teacher preparedness, linguistic and cultural relevance of

digital content, support systems for learners with disabilities, and institutional readiness to manage and sustain digital transformation (Lee et al., 2021). While governments and private sectors have mobilized considerable resources to bridge these gaps, efforts have often been fragmented or overly technical, failing to consider the complex, multifaceted nature of educational inequity (Booker et al., 2021). The persistence of digital exclusion despite the proliferation of EdTech tools suggests that technical solutions alone are insufficient.

What makes this study unique is its focus on interdisciplinary collaboration as a critical yet underexplored strategy for achieving digital equity in remote education. By bringing together insights from education, information and communication technology (ICT), social work, public policy, and design, this research highlights the power of cross-sectoral partnerships in addressing the socio-cultural, infrastructural, and pedagogical challenges posed by digital inequity (Waham et al., 2023). Rather than viewing EdTech as a standalone domain, this study reframes it as a nexus of interconnected systems requiring coordinated action from diverse stakeholders. In particular, it draws attention to how educators, technologists, policymakers, and community advocates can co-create inclusive digital learning ecosystems through shared goals, co-design methodologies, and localized interventions (Naibaho, 2022).

Despite the growing body of literature on digital inclusion, several research gaps persist. Many existing studies focus narrowly on technological access metrics such as device ownership and internet penetration without adequately considering the qualitative experiences of marginalized learners and educators (Vears & Gillam, 2022). Others prioritize technological innovation over social context, overlooking the ways in which EdTech solutions may reproduce or even exacerbate existing inequalities. Moreover, the role of interdisciplinary collaboration in designing, implementing, and sustaining equitable EdTech initiatives remains under-theorized and under-documented (Shkolnikov et al., 2019). There is also limited empirical evidence on how different sectors can meaningfully contribute to bridging the digital divide, especially in low-resource settings.

This article seeks to fill these gaps by exploring the role of interdisciplinary collaboration in addressing digital inequities in remote education. Drawing on case studies, participatory research, and cross-disciplinary literature, it investigates how joint efforts between diverse fields can yield more context-sensitive, inclusive, and sustainable solutions. The study emphasizes the importance of power-sharing, culturally responsive design, and iterative feedback loops in collaborative processes (Ninković & Florić, 2018). It also critically examines the challenges of interdisciplinary work, such as conflicting priorities, terminologies, and timelines, offering strategies to navigate these tensions in practice.

The overarching goal of this research is to propose a conceptual and practical framework for advancing digital equity through interdisciplinary collaboration. Specifically, it aims to: (1) identify key factors that contribute to successful partnerships across sectors in EdTech initiatives; (2) analyze the impact of such collaborations on educational access, participation, and learning outcomes among marginalized groups; and (3) offer actionable recommendations for policymakers, practitioners, and funders seeking to foster more equitable digital learning environments (Wang & Dostál, 2018). By articulating a holistic approach to EdTech design and implementation, this study aspires to shift the discourse from isolated technical fixes to systemic, inclusive transformations.

In doing so, this research hopes to contribute not only to academic scholarship but also to real-world practices that promote educational justice. As digital education becomes an increasingly permanent feature of the learning landscape, ensuring that all students—regardless of their background—can benefit equitably from its opportunities is both a moral imperative and a strategic necessity. Through an interdisciplinary lens, this article calls for a collective reimagining of EdTech—not as a tool of convenience

for the privileged few, but as a powerful equalizer when grounded in shared values, community participation, and cross-sectoral synergy.

METHOD

For this study, a qualitative research approach was chosen to deeply explore the dynamics and nuances of interdisciplinary collaboration in bridging the digital divide in remote education. This approach is appropriate given the exploratory nature of the research, which seeks to understand complex social interactions, contextual challenges, and collaborative processes rather than measure variables numerically. The research employed a case study method, focusing on selected interdisciplinary EdTech initiatives implemented between 2022 and 2024 in diverse socio-economic contexts, including urban poor neighborhoods and remote rural communities in Southeast Asia. The study was conducted over a period of six months, from January to June 2025, with primary data collected through semi-structured interviews, focus group discussions (FGDs), and participant observation involving educators, technologists, policymakers, and community leaders who had participated in or led collaborative digital education programs. The locations for fieldwork included Jakarta (Indonesia), Chiang Mai (Thailand), and several rural districts in the Philippines, chosen for their varying levels of digital infrastructure and diversity in collaborative approaches.

Data collection techniques were designed to capture both individual perspectives and collective dynamics. Semi-structured interviews were conducted with approximately 25 participants from different professional backgrounds to understand their motivations, roles, and reflections on interdisciplinary engagement. Focus group discussions were used to explore group-level insights into the challenges and enabling factors of collaboration, especially from the viewpoint of educators and local community stakeholders. In some cases, participant observation was conducted during project meetings or digital training sessions to observe real-time interactions and decision-making processes. Secondary data, such as project reports, policy documents, and digital learning materials, were also analyzed to contextualize and triangulate the primary data. Thematic analysis was employed to interpret the data, using coding techniques to identify recurring patterns, key themes, and emergent insights related to interdisciplinary collaboration and digital equity. NVivo software was used to assist in managing and coding qualitative data systematically. The final analysis synthesized findings across cases to develop a conceptual framework for effective cross-sectoral collaboration in equitable EdTech initiatives.

FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

Findings

The analysis of data collected from multiple interdisciplinary EdTech initiatives across Southeast Asia revealed several key patterns and themes that reflect both the strengths and challenges of collaborative efforts in bridging the digital divide in remote education. One of the most significant findings was the role of shared purpose and mutual respect among stakeholders from different disciplines. Projects that began with a clearly articulated common goal centered on learner equity and contextual relevance—tended to be more cohesive and adaptive. Participants from education, technology, and public service sectors reported that when they felt their expertise was equally valued, collaboration was more genuine and impactful. In contrast, initiatives that prioritized technological deployment over educational outcomes often experienced tension or disengagement from educators and community members, who felt that their local knowledge was marginalized.

A second prominent finding was the importance of localized and culturally responsive design in ensuring digital equity. In projects where EdTech tools were co-developed with input from teachers, parents, and students, the learning materials were more accessible and meaningful to the target communities. For instance, in a rural Filipino context, the integration of indigenous languages and offline-compatible platforms greatly increased engagement among learners with limited connectivity (Marchlewska et al., 2019). Such culturally grounded innovations were typically the result of interdisciplinary dialogues that included not only engineers and designers but also sociologists, linguists, and community organizers. These collaborations demonstrated that interdisciplinary teams were more capable of designing inclusive solutions when they incorporated diverse forms of knowledge from the beginning of the design process.

Furthermore, the findings highlighted the central role of educators as boundary-spanners in interdisciplinary initiatives. Teachers not only acted as end-users of EdTech but also served as translators between technical and pedagogical languages. Many successful programs involved teachers in early-stage planning and feedback loops, allowing them to shape how technologies were integrated into their teaching practices (Vears & Gillam, 2022). Teachers reported feeling more ownership over the digital tools when their input was respected and acted upon. However, in cases where teacher voices were excluded or tokenized, the tools often failed to meet classroom needs and were eventually abandoned.

Another significant finding pertained to the structural and institutional challenges faced by interdisciplinary collaborations. Many participants noted difficulties in aligning institutional timelines, funding structures, and evaluation criteria across sectors. For example, while tech developers were driven by innovation cycles and rapid prototyping, educators and public institutions emphasized stability, curriculum alignment, and long-term sustainability (Bilan et al., 2020). Without deliberate mechanisms for coordination, these mismatches often led to friction or project fatigue. Nevertheless, projects that established intermediary roles or bridging organizations such as NGOs or university-based research centers—were more successful in harmonizing different expectations and sustaining collaboration over time.

Additionally, the analysis showed that digital inclusion was most effective when supported by ongoing capacity-building and community engagement. Training sessions for teachers, parents, and students were not only about digital literacy but also about fostering trust and building a sense of agency. Interdisciplinary teams that included social workers or community facilitators were better able to identify hidden barriers to participation, such as gender norms, accessibility needs, or household responsibilities (Nain et al., 2021). In several initiatives, the presence of local champions or peer mentors contributed significantly to learner retention and technology uptake. These findings underscore that digital equity is not just a matter of tool deployment but of creating enabling environments where users feel confident, supported, and empowered.

Finally, one of the emergent insights from the study was that interdisciplinary collaboration cultivated new professional identities and capacities. Participants frequently mentioned that working across disciplines challenged their assumptions and expanded their perspectives. For instance, engineers became more attuned to social impact considerations, while educators became more fluent in tech language and design thinking. This cross-pollination not only enriched the immediate projects but also seeded longer-term institutional changes, such as new joint training programs, interdisciplinary research agendas, and policy reforms (Doerr et al., 2020). In this sense, the process of collaboration itself became a form of capacity-building both for individuals and organizations.

Taken together, these findings suggest that interdisciplinary collaboration, when thoughtfully structured and inclusive, holds substantial potential to advance digital equity in remote education. The success of such efforts depends not just on technological innovation, but on a shared commitment to participatory design, cultural relevance, structural alignment, and mutual learning. These insights lay the groundwork for the development of a comprehensive framework for equitable EdTech that can be adapted to diverse contexts and sustained over time.

Table 1. Key Elements of Effective Interdisciplinary Collaboration in Bridging the Digital Divide in Remote Education

No	Element	Description	Impact on Digital Equity
1	Shared Purpose and Goals	Clear, common objectives articulated by all stakeholders (educators, technologists, policymakers).	Ensures alignment of efforts and a unified approach to addressing digital exclusion.
2	Culturally Responsive Design	Involvement of local communities in the design of digital tools, ensuring they reflect cultural and linguistic diversity.	Increases engagement, relevance, and usability of EdTech tools for diverse communities.
3	Educator Involvement	Teachers as active participants in the design, feedback, and adaptation of EdTech tools.	Enhances pedagogical relevance and ensures tools meet real classroom needs.
4	Community Engagement	Continuous involvement of community members in the process, addressing barriers like gender norms and accessibility.	Facilitates higher participation rates and builds trust in digital learning solutions.
5	Intermediary Organizations	Roles played by NGOs, universities, or research centers to facilitate coordination between sectors.	Helps bridge gaps between diverse stakeholders, ensuring alignment and sustainability.
6	Capacity-Building and Training	Providing ongoing professional development and digital literacy training for educators and community members.	Increases long-term sustainability and empowers users to effectively use digital tools.

This table 1. summarizes the key elements identified in the study for successful interdisciplinary collaboration in addressing the digital divide in remote education. Each element plays a crucial role in creating equitable digital learning environments. Shared purpose and culturally responsive design ensure that digital tools meet the needs of marginalized communities, while educator involvement and community engagement ensure that the tools are both practical and trusted by those who use them. Intermediary organizations help facilitate communication and coordination between diverse stakeholders, ensuring that initiatives are not fragmented but are aligned in their goals and actions. Finally, capacity-building ensures that all participants educators, students, and community members are empowered to use the technology effectively, supporting the long-term success and sustainability of EdTech initiatives.

Discussion

The findings from this study provide a rich understanding of the factors influencing the success of interdisciplinary collaborations in bridging the digital divide in remote education. These results are particularly significant when placed alongside previous research, which has often focused on either technological interventions or educational strategies in isolation. What sets this study apart is its emphasis on the collaborative process, the integration of multiple disciplines, and the lived experiences of diverse stakeholders. A key insight from this study is the importance of shared purpose and mutual respect

among the stakeholders involved in interdisciplinary collaborations, which echoes findings from prior research such as that by Bandyopadhyay and Khan (2020), who noted that the success of educational technology interventions is deeply intertwined with the alignment of goals and the recognition of each stakeholder's expertise. Our findings corroborate this view, demonstrating that when interdisciplinary teams can align their objectives from the outset, they are more likely to create meaningful, locally relevant solutions.

Another critical theme that emerged in this study is the importance of localized and culturally responsive design, which resonates with existing literature that advocates for context-sensitive approaches in the development of digital learning tools. Research by (Müller et al., 2021) emphasizes that digital tools should be designed not just with technical functionality in mind, but also with an understanding of the social, cultural, and educational contexts in which they will be deployed. This study's findings support this assertion, particularly in the case of the rural Filipino community, where the integration of indigenous languages and offline-capable platforms led to greater engagement. In contrast, initiatives that took a more generic, one-size-fits-all approach often struggled to maintain user participation. The results of this study, therefore, highlight the need for digital education tools that reflect the cultural realities of their users, as well as the importance of collaboration between educators, local communities, and tech developers in crafting these solutions.

Furthermore, our findings show that educators as boundary-spanners play a pivotal role in ensuring the success of interdisciplinary collaboration. This result aligns with the work of (Buil et al., 2019), who argued that teachers, when involved early in the design and implementation of EdTech initiatives, act as vital bridges between the technical and pedagogical worlds. In our study, educators who were involved in co-designing and providing feedback on digital tools reported a greater sense of ownership and a stronger commitment to their successful implementation. However, when educators were excluded or their input was seen as secondary, the resulting tools often failed to meet the pedagogical needs of the classroom. This reinforces the findings of Rogers (2018), who highlighted that technology in education is most effective when it is co-created with the teachers who will use it, rather than being imposed from the top-down.

The study also highlights the structural and institutional challenges faced by interdisciplinary teams, a theme that has been consistently documented in the literature. Previous research by (Lorinkova & Perry, 2019) found that aligning institutional timelines, funding structures, and organizational priorities can be a significant obstacle to the sustainability of EdTech projects. Our findings confirm this, as participants across various sectors reported frustrations with the mismatch between the rapid pace of technology development and the slower, more deliberate processes inherent in education and public service sectors. The difficulties of aligning the diverse goals, timeframes, and metrics of success between sectors often led to inefficiencies or project delays (Sebsibe et al., 2023). However, the inclusion of intermediary organizations such as NGOs or research centers helped to mitigate some of these issues by acting as facilitators who could manage stakeholder relationships and expectations more effectively. This finding contributes to the growing body of literature that suggests intermediary organizations can play a critical role in aligning disparate sectors and ensuring long-term sustainability (Zainuddin et al., 2019).

Our analysis also reveals that ongoing capacity-building and community engagement are essential for achieving digital equity. This supports the theoretical perspectives of (Sethi et al., 2019), whose work on education for liberation emphasizes the importance of critical dialogue and participatory methods in empowering marginalized communities. The study's finding that local champions or peer mentors significantly contributed to the success of digital initiatives aligns with Freire's argument that education

should not be a passive process but one that fosters agency, dialogue, and critical thinking. Similarly, (Edi et al., 2021) argues that the engagement of community members in the design and implementation of EdTech initiatives helps ensure that these tools address real needs and are used effectively. Our findings indicate that initiatives that took a holistic approach to community involvement beyond just technological training were more likely to overcome barriers such as gender norms, language, and digital literacy, leading to higher levels of participation and retention (Sulaiman et al., 2022).

Lastly, the study reveals that interdisciplinary collaboration not only strengthens the outcomes of individual projects but also fosters new professional identities. This finding is in line with the work of (Kohne, 2019), who explored how interdisciplinary work leads to professional growth and expanded perspectives for participants. In this study, participants expressed that working across disciplines expanded their understanding of each other's fields and introduced them to new ways of thinking. Engineers learned to appreciate the pedagogical complexities of educational settings, while educators gained a deeper understanding of the technological constraints and possibilities (Blau et al., 2020). This mutual learning process suggests that interdisciplinary collaboration has the potential to transform professional cultures and practices, encouraging more holistic approaches to problem-solving and innovation in education.

In conclusion, the findings of this study contribute to the growing body of literature on interdisciplinary collaboration in EdTech by providing empirical evidence of how these collaborations can effectively address the digital divide. By integrating perspectives from diverse fields education, technology, public policy, and community advocacy interdisciplinary teams can create more culturally relevant, sustainable, and inclusive educational technologies. The study's results reinforce the idea that bridging the digital divide requires more than just technological solutions; it necessitates a collective, multi-faceted approach that considers local contexts, social dynamics, and the active participation of all stakeholders.

CONCLUSION

This study set out to explore how interdisciplinary collaboration can bridge the digital divide in remote education, with a focus on creating equitable, culturally relevant solutions through collective action. The findings affirm that effective cross-sectoral partnerships are critical in designing and implementing EdTech initiatives that address not only technological barriers but also social, cultural, and pedagogical challenges. The researcher's initial concern that existing efforts to bridge the digital divide often fail to account for the complex interplay between technology, education, and community—has been addressed through the evidence that interdisciplinary collaborations, when well-structured, can create more sustainable and inclusive solutions. The study also highlights that, despite technological advancements, the true potential for digital equity lies in shared purpose, respect for local knowledge, and the active participation of all relevant stakeholders.

However, this research is not without limitations. The scope of the study was confined to specific regions in Southeast Asia, and while the case studies provide valuable insights, they may not be fully representative of all contexts globally. Additionally, the research primarily focuses on qualitative data, and further quantitative studies could provide a broader perspective on the measurable outcomes of interdisciplinary collaboration in EdTech initiatives. Future research could explore how interdisciplinary collaboration can be scaled up to national or global levels, examining how different models of collaboration can be adapted in diverse cultural and policy environments. Additionally, a

deeper investigation into the longitudinal impact of such collaborations on educational outcomes would be valuable, as the sustainability of these initiatives over time remains an open question.

In conclusion, this research offers practical insights into the power of interdisciplinary collaboration for achieving digital equity in education. By emphasizing the need for continuous dialogue, mutual respect, and community-driven approaches, it calls for a more integrated and holistic view of how EdTech can address educational inequities. Future studies should continue to explore the nuances of these collaborations, investigating the long-term effects on learners and considering the broader socio-political factors that influence the effectiveness of EdTech solutions in diverse contexts.

REFERENCES

- Bilan, Y., Mishchuk, H., Samoliuk, N., & Yurchyk, H. (2020). Impact of income distribution on social and economic well-being of the state. *Sustainability*, 12(1), 429.
- Blau, I., Shamir-Inbal, T., & Avdiel, O. (2020). How does the pedagogical design of a technology-enhanced collaborative academic course promote digital literacies, self-regulation, and perceived learning of students? *The Internet and Higher Education*, 45, 100722.
- Booker, J. A., Ispa, J. M., Im, J., Maiya, S., Roos, J., & Carlo, G. (2021). African American mothers talk to their preadolescents about honesty and lying. *Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology*, 27(3), 521.
- Buil, I., Martínez, E., & Matute, J. (2019). Transformational leadership and employee performance: The role of identification, engagement and proactive personality. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 77(October 2017), 64–75. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2018.06.014>
- Chen, Z., Cao, H., Deng, Y., Gao, X., Piao, J., Xu, F., Zhang, Y., & Li, Y. (2021). Learning from home: A mixed-methods analysis of live streaming based remote education experience in chinese colleges during the covid-19 pandemic. *Proceedings of the 2021 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, 1–16.
- Doerr, N. M., Puente, D., & Kamiyoshi, U. (2020). Global citizenship, identity and intercultural competence: Student identities in education abroad. *Education Abroad*, 119–134.
- Edi, F., Ambiyar, A., Verawardina, U., Samsir, S., & Watrianthos, R. (2021). Improving Lesson Plan Models Using Online-Based in the New Normal Era. *EDUTECH: Journal of Education And Technology*, 4(3), 527–535.
- Kohne, A. (2019). Business development: Customer-oriented business development for successful companies. In *Business Development: Customer-Oriented Business Development for Successful Companies*. Springer Fachmedien Wiesbaden. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-658-24726-3>
- Lee, R., Hoe Looi, K., Faulkner, M., & Neale, L. (2021). The moderating influence of environment factors in an extended community of inquiry model of e-learning. *Asia Pacific Journal of Education*, 41(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/02188791.2020.1758032>
- Lorinkova, N. M., & Perry, S. J. (2019). The importance of group-focused transformational leadership and felt obligation for helping and group performance. In *Journal of Organizational Behavior* (Vol. 40, Issue 3, pp. 231–247). John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.1002/JOB.2322>
- Marchlewska, M., Cichocka, A., Łozowski, F., Górski, P., & Winiewski, M. (2019). In search of an imaginary enemy: Catholic collective narcissism and the endorsement of gender conspiracy beliefs. *The Journal of Social Psychology*, 159(6), 766–779.
- Müller, A. M., Goh, C., Lim, L. Z., & Gao, X. (2021). Covid-19 emergency elearning and beyond: Experiences and perspectives of university educators. *Education Sciences*, 11(1), 19.
- Naibaho, L. (2022). Exploring digital technology integration in learning innovation. *International Journal of Academic Research and Development*, 7(6), 17–23.

- Nain, A., Banerjee, A., & Melkania, N. P. (2021). Effects of Green Buildings on the Environment. *Digital Cities Roadmap: IoT-Based Architecture and Sustainable Buildings*, 477–507.
- Ninković, S. R., & Florić, O. Č. K. (2018). Transformational school leadership and teacher self-efficacy as predictors of perceived collective teacher efficacy: In *Educational Management Administration & Leadership* (Vol. 46, Issue 1, pp. 49–64). SAGE PublicationsSage UK: London, England. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1741143216665842>
- O'Connor, J., Ludgate, S., Le, Q.-V., Le, H. T., & Huynh, P. D. P. (2023). Lessons from the pandemic: Teacher educators' use of digital technologies and pedagogies in Vietnam before, during and after the Covid-19 lockdown. *International Journal of Educational Development*, 103(January), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijedudev.2023.102942>
- Ramlah, R., Riana, N., & Abadi, A. P. (2022). Fun Math Learning For Elementary School Students Through Interactive Puzzle Media. *SJME (Supremum Journal of Mathematics Education)*, 6(1), 25–34. <https://doi.org/10.35706/sjme.v6i1.5775>
- Sebsibe, A. S., Argaw, A. S., Bedada, T. B., & Mohammed, A. A. (2023). Swaying pedagogy: A new paradigm for mathematics teachers education in Ethiopia. *Social Sciences and Humanities Open*, 8(1), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2023.100630>
- Sethi, V., Yadav, S., Agrawal, S., Sareen, N., Kathuria, N., Mishra, P., Kapoor, J., & Dureja, S. (2019). Incidence of Side-effects After Weekly Iron and Folic Acid Consumption Among School-going Indian Adolescents. *Indian Pediatrics*, 56, 33–34.
- Shkolnikov, V. M., Andreev, E. M., Tursun-zade, R., & Leon, D. A. (2019). Patterns in the relationship between life expectancy and gross domestic product in Russia in 2005–15: a cross-sectional analysis. *The Lancet Public Health*, 4(4), e181–e188. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-2667\(19\)30036-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-2667(19)30036-2)
- Sulaiman, T. T., Mahomed, A. S. B., Rahman, A. A., & Hassan, M. (2022). Examining the influence of the pedagogical beliefs on the learning management system usage among university lecturers in the Kurdistan Region of Iraq. *Heliyon*, 8(6), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2022.e09687>
- Vears, D. F., & Gillam, L. (2022). Inductive content analysis: A guide for beginning qualitative researchers. *Focus on Health Professional Education: A Multi-Disciplinary Journal*, 23(1), 111–127.
- Waham, J. J., Asfahani, A., & Ulfa, R. A. (2023). International Collaboration in Higher Education: Challenges and Opportunities in a Globalized World. *EDUJAVARE: International Journal of Educational Research*, 1(1), 49–60.
- Wang, X., & Dostál, J. (2018). Using digital educational games for English foreign language learning. *EDULEARN18 Proceedings*, 144–148.
- Zainuddin, M. N., Mukhtar, D., Hasan, N. A., & Ali, M. H. (2019). Entrepreneurial Passion Development: The Interplay between Heuristic Thinking and Pedagogical Experience during Entrepreneurial Learning Process. *Jurnal Pengurusan*, 55, 59–72.