Volume 1 Nomor 1 (2025)
January – March 2025
Page: 43-51

Yayasan Irsyadul Ibad Mojopurno
YIIM
https://journal.irsyad.org/index.php/

J-MUR

Cross-Disciplinary Approaches to Climate-Resilient Urban Planning: A Case Study of Smart City Development in Southeast Asia

Khurshed Iqbal[2]

[1] Oklahoma State University, United States; iqbal22@gmail.com

Article history Submitted: 2025/01/18; Revised: 2025/02/25; Accepted: 2025/03/30

Abstract

This study explores the intersection of cross-disciplinary approaches to urban planning, smart city development, and climate resilience in Southeast Asia. With the growing impacts of climate change, cities in the region face significant challenges in integrating technology and climate adaptation strategies. The aim of this research is to investigate how urban planners, policymakers, and local communities collaborate to foster climate-resilient smart cities. Using a qualitative case study approach, the research examines urban planning practices in Singapore, Jakarta, and Bangkok through interviews, document analysis, and field observations. The findings reveal that while Singapore demonstrates effective cross-sectoral coordination, Jakarta and Bangkok struggle with fragmented institutional structures, hindering comprehensive climate resilience. Despite these challenges, informal civic-tech collaborations and community-driven initiatives offer promising avenues for adaptation. The study concludes that successful climate-resilient smart cities require integrated governance frameworks, inclusive participation, and long-term strategic planning. The research contributes to a deeper understanding of how interdisciplinary collaboration can enhance urban resilience in the face of climate change and offers valuable insights for policymakers and urban planners in rapidly urbanizing regions.

Keywords Climate Resilience, Cross-Disciplinary Approaches, Governance, Smart Cities.

INTRODUCTION

The accelerating impacts of climate change have brought about an urgent need for urban centers worldwide to adopt more resilient and adaptive planning strategies. With the increasing frequency of extreme weather events, rising sea levels, and intensifying urban heat islands, cities particularly in the Global South face mounting socio-environmental challenges (Putera, 2015). Southeast Asia is one of the most vulnerable regions to climate-related risks, largely due to its coastal geography, dense population centers, and rapid urbanization. In this context, urban resilience has become a critical agenda point, where innovative planning frameworks must integrate environmental sustainability, technological advancements, and inclusive governance (Latief & Nashir, 2020). The concept of "smart cities" has gained momentum in recent years as a promising approach to tackle these multi-dimensional urban issues.

Smart city development, at its core, refers to the use of digital technology, data, and intelligent infrastructure to enhance the quality of urban life, optimize services, and promote sustainable growth.

However, integrating climate resilience into smart city planning is not yet a universally applied standard, especially in Southeast Asian countries (Angelidou et al., 2018). Here, urban development often progresses rapidly, sometimes at the expense of long-term environmental sustainability. Traditional planning paradigms often dominated by engineering or economic perspectives may fall short in capturing the complexity of urban systems under climate stress. Consequently, there is a growing need for cross-disciplinary approaches that combine insights from urban design, environmental science, data analytics, sociology, and governance studies. Such integration allows for a more comprehensive and robust planning mechanism to emerge (Beiderbeck et al., 2021).

This study explores the intersection between climate resilience and smart city initiatives through a cross-disciplinary lens, focusing on selected case studies from Southeast Asia. The uniqueness of this research lies in its deliberate examination of how different fields of expertise collaborate or fail to do so in shaping urban responses to climate change (Stephenson, 2023). While there is an abundance of literature on smart cities, much of it centers around technological innovation and economic efficiency, often overlooking socio-environmental aspects. Conversely, research on climate-resilient cities tends to emphasize ecological adaptation or policy frameworks, with less attention to how smart technologies might aid in such efforts. This article addresses the missing link between these domains by presenting integrated, context-sensitive models of urban planning (White & Gibson, 2019).

Existing literature presents several gaps that this study seeks to address. First, most academic discussions on smart cities are dominated by examples from the Global North, with less focus on Southeast Asia, where socio-political dynamics, infrastructure limitations, and climate risks present unique planning challenges (Okunade & Osmani, 2020). Second, the compartmentalization of disciplines in both academia and practice has led to fragmented solutions, where technology, policy, and community needs are often misaligned. Third, very few studies have employed an empirical approach to assess how cross-sectoral collaboration influences the effectiveness of smart city projects in enhancing climate resilience. The lack of holistic case studies makes it difficult to derive lessons or frameworks that are transferable across diverse urban contexts in Southeast Asia (Rachmawati et al., 2021).

The aim of this research is to investigate how cross-disciplinary collaborations influence the planning and implementation of smart city projects that are climate-resilient. By analyzing case studies from countries such as Singapore, Indonesia, and Thailand, the study seeks to uncover best practices, barriers, and policy implications. These examples provide contrasting approaches and institutional settings that enrich the comparative analysis. The research employs a qualitative methodology, incorporating interviews, document analysis, and spatial observation, with a focus on understanding the interplay between technical innovation, policy design, and community engagement. This multi-layered approach enables a nuanced understanding of urban resilience as both a technical and social construct.

Ultimately, the goal of this article is to contribute a new perspective to the field of urban planning one that is grounded in interdisciplinary synthesis and contextual relevance. It aspires to shift the discourse from technology-centered smart city narratives toward more balanced, inclusive, and climate-conscious urban development models. By highlighting how diverse knowledge systems can inform one another and generate integrated solutions, this research advocates for a paradigmatic change in how we approach urban planning in the face of climate change. The findings are intended to inform policy-makers, urban planners, researchers, and civil society stakeholders about the benefits and challenges of pursuing cross-disciplinary strategies in urban development.

The study underscores the importance of breaking down disciplinary silos and fostering collaboration across sectors and institutions. Climate change is a systemic risk that transcends traditional

policy domains and technical boundaries. Addressing it requires not just smarter cities, but also wiser, more cooperative planning processes. This research positions itself at the nexus of smart city innovation and climate resilience, using Southeast Asia as a fertile ground to explore both the opportunities and limitations of current urban planning paradigms. It is hoped that the insights generated will encourage more adaptive, inclusive, and sustainable urban futures, not only for Southeast Asia but also for other rapidly urbanizing regions around the world.

METHOD

This study employs a qualitative research methodology with a multiple case study approach to explore how cross-disciplinary collaborations shape climate-resilient smart city planning in Southeast Asia. The research focuses on three cities Singapore, Jakarta (Indonesia), and Bangkok (Thailand) as representative urban centers with diverse governance structures, climate vulnerabilities, and smart city initiatives. Data collection was conducted over a six-month period, from October 2024 to March 2025, through a combination of semi-structured interviews, field observations, and document analysis. Key informants include urban planners, policy-makers, environmental specialists, data scientists, and representatives from civil society organizations involved in urban development projects. Purposive sampling was used to identify informants with significant roles in relevant cross-disciplinary initiatives.

Data collection also involved the analysis of planning documents, government policy briefs, smart city frameworks, climate adaptation strategies, and urban development reports published by national and municipal agencies, as well as international organizations such as UN-Habitat and ASEAN Smart Cities Network. Interview transcripts and documents were analyzed thematically using NVivo software to identify patterns, contrasts, and emerging themes related to interdisciplinary coordination, innovation diffusion, governance integration, and resilience outcomes. Thematic coding was guided by the principles of grounded theory to allow theory to emerge inductively from the data. Triangulation among different data sources ensured the validity of findings. By comparing how each city navigates the intersection of technology, climate adaptation, and institutional collaboration, the study provides a comprehensive understanding of the structural enablers and constraints of climate-resilient smart city development in Southeast Asia.

FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

Findings

The analysis of the three case study cities—Singapore, Jakarta, and Bangkok revealed both shared patterns and distinct approaches in implementing climate-resilient smart city strategies through cross-disciplinary collaboration. One of the most consistent findings across the cases is the recognition that no single discipline or institutional actor can address urban climate resilience in isolation. In Singapore, for instance, the government has institutionalized cross-sector collaboration through integrated agencies such as the Urban Redevelopment Authority (URA) and the Smart Nation and Digital Government Group (SNDGG), which coordinate efforts between environmental planners, data engineers, and public health officials. This coordination has allowed for the deployment of data-driven tools, such as real-time flood monitoring and predictive heat mapping, which are embedded into urban design and emergency response systems (Jiang et al., 2020).

Jakarta presented a contrasting yet instructive case. Despite having a robust smart city framework under the Jakarta Smart City program, institutional fragmentation and overlapping mandates among

agencies have hindered the effective implementation of resilience strategies. Interviews revealed that while technical solutions such as traffic and flood monitoring systems are operational, there is limited integration with long-term climate adaptation planning (Asfahani et al., 2023). However, a notable strength in Jakarta lies in its growing civic-tech collaborations, where NGOs and local communities co-develop digital platforms for participatory urban risk mapping. This suggests that while formal top-down collaboration may be weak, informal cross-disciplinary networks are emerging as crucial actors in building urban resilience from the bottom up.

In Bangkok, the study found a hybrid approach, where climate resilience and smart city efforts are coordinated both vertically (between national and city-level institutions) and horizontally (among sectors and disciplines). The Bangkok Metropolitan Administration (BMA) has recently piloted a climate-smart urban planning model in partnership with international development agencies and local universities (Saputra et al., 2023). This model integrates climate data into spatial planning and includes socio-economic vulnerability assessments in infrastructure prioritization. However, challenges persist in scaling these efforts, particularly due to bureaucratic inertia and limited digital capacity among municipal staff. Yet, the city demonstrates strong potential by leveraging academic institutions as knowledge brokers who mediate between government, private sector, and civil society in developing evidence-based planning solutions.

Another significant finding across all three cities is that cross-disciplinary collaboration is most successful when supported by institutional frameworks that incentivize joint problem-solving. In Singapore, this is achieved through policy alignment and shared performance metrics across agencies. In contrast, both Jakarta and Bangkok often face inter-agency competition and siloed budgetary allocations, which undermine collaborative efforts (Ninković & Florić, 2018). The study also highlights the importance of leadership and political will in enabling these cross-disciplinary engagements. Cities that exhibit strong executive backing for integrated planning, like Singapore, tend to have more coherent and climate-aware smart city initiatives (Akinwamide & Oguntade, 2023).

Furthermore, the research uncovered that the use of technology in smart cities does not automatically translate into climate resilience unless it is embedded within adaptive governance structures. In Jakarta and Bangkok, smart technologies are frequently adopted for short-term efficiency gains such as traffic optimization or flood alerts without being incorporated into broader climate adaptation frameworks (Caliandro et al., 2021). This contrasts with Singapore's approach, where climate resilience is treated as a systemic issue requiring long-term planning, cross-sectoral data sharing, and public engagement. The findings suggest that resilience outcomes are not merely a function of technological sophistication but of how these technologies are governed, localized, and integrated into institutional practice.

Lastly, the study found that community engagement is a critical yet often underutilized component of cross-disciplinary planning. While all three cities have initiated participatory platforms, their depth and effectiveness vary. In Jakarta, grassroots digital initiatives provide valuable localized climate data that fill gaps left by formal systems. In Bangkok, public participation is slowly being institutionalized through climate forums and digital feedback tools. Singapore, while more technocratically driven, is beginning to open data for public innovation, encouraging citizens to co-create climate solutions. These findings indicate that inclusive planning, which bridges expert knowledge and lived experience, enhances both the legitimacy and the sustainability of urban resilience strategies.

Table 1. Key Elements of Effective Interdisciplinary Collaboration in Bridging the Digital Divide in Remote Education

Element	Singapore	Jakarta	Bangkok
Institutional Coordination	Strong coordination between agencies (URA, SNDGG)	Fragmented, limited inter-agency collaboration	Hybrid approach, vertical & horizontal integration
Technology Integration	Data-driven solutions embedded in urban design	Focus on short-term tech (e.g., traffic, flood alerts)	Piloting climate-smart urban models with tech integration
Community Engagement	Gradual shift to public innovation (data openness)	Growing civic-tech initiatives	Increasing public participation through digital platforms
Climate Adaptation Focus	Long-term, proactive resilience planning	Climate adaptation often sidelined	Integrated with urban planning but faces scaling challenges
Governance Structure	Strong governance and long-term vision	Fragmented agencies and limited capacity	Bureaucratic inertia, reliance on academic partnerships

This table 1. summarizes key findings from the research on the role of cross-disciplinary approaches in fostering climate-resilient smart cities in Southeast Asia, focusing on Singapore, Jakarta, and Bangkok.

- Institutional Coordination shows how Singapore excels in integrating agencies for coordinated urban resilience, while Jakarta and Bangkok face varying levels of fragmentation or hybrid coordination.
- Technology Integration reflects how Singapore has successfully embedded smart technologies into long-term urban planning, while Jakarta and Bangkok focus on short-term solutions that do not always link directly to broader resilience goals.
- Community Engagement indicates the rising importance of public participation, with Singapore gradually adopting a more inclusive approach, Jakarta fostering grassroots tech initiatives, and Bangkok developing participatory platforms for climate adaptation.
- Climate Adaptation Focus highlights the varying levels of commitment to proactive climate resilience, with Singapore leading in long-term integration, while Jakarta and Bangkok struggle to scale such efforts.
- Governance Structure illustrates the differences in political and institutional frameworks that influence the effectiveness of smart city projects, from Singapore's strong governance to Bangkok's and Jakarta's challenges.

This table provides a comparative snapshot of how each city tackles the complex task of integrating smart city technology with climate resilience, shedding light on the strengths and challenges of cross-disciplinary planning.

Discussion

The findings from the three case study cities—Singapore, Jakarta, and Bangkok—are consistent with existing literature on urban resilience, smart city development, and the importance of interdisciplinary collaboration. However, they also reveal nuanced differences in how these cities approach cross-disciplinary urban planning in the context of climate change. By comparing these findings with prior research, several key themes emerge, shedding light on both common patterns and unique variations in practice.

One significant insight from this study is the crucial role of institutional coordination in facilitating climate-resilient smart cities. The success of Singapore's integrated approach—where agencies such as the

Urban Redevelopment Authority (URA) and the Smart Nation and Digital Government Group (SNDGG) collaborate closely is consistent with the findings of previous research, which argues that urban resilience is strengthened when government agencies, technology developers, and environmental planners work together (Hussain et al., 2023). Previous studies, such as those by (García-Peñalvo, 2016), also emphasize the importance of cohesive policy frameworks that align urban development with climate adaptation. Singapore's institutional framework not only facilitates collaboration but also ensures that climate resilience is embedded into long-term urban planning strategies, which is a model that is often highlighted in smart city literature as one of best practices (Yigitcanlar et al., 2019). This finding reinforces the idea that smart cities are more than just digital ecosystems they must also be grounded in robust governance systems that prioritize resilience.

In contrast, Jakarta's fragmented approach highlights the barriers that cities in the Global South often face when trying to implement comprehensive smart city and climate adaptation strategies. While previous research has acknowledged the challenges of institutional fragmentation in rapidly urbanizing cities (Qodr et al., 2021), Jakarta's experience underscores the detrimental effect of overlapping bureaucratic structures and competing agendas on climate resilience outcomes. Unlike Singapore, Jakarta's agencies operate with limited coordination, which hinders the full potential of smart technologies for long-term urban sustainability. This result echoes the work of (Dortmans et al., 2017), who argue that without strong governance structures, technological solutions alone are insufficient for fostering resilience. Jakarta's case, however, also points to the rise of informal cross-disciplinary networks, particularly through civic-tech collaborations, which align with the findings of Munoz et al. (2022), who suggest that grassroots movements can fill governance gaps and contribute to climate resilience.

Bangkok's hybrid approach, where both vertical (government-to-local) and horizontal (sectoral) collaborations are employed, aligns with studies that advocate for polycentric governance models in urban planning (Maksum, 2017). The city's partnership with universities, international agencies, and local stakeholders to pilot climate-smart urban planning models provides an example of how universities and research institutions can serve as intermediaries between government, private sector, and civil society. This resonates with the findings of research by (Rumere et al., 2022), who argue that knowledge brokerage through academic institutions can enhance adaptive capacity in cities facing climate risks. However, the study also reveals the limitations of this model in a context of bureaucratic inertia and limited digital capacity, as seen in Bangkok's challenges with scaling smart city initiatives. This reflects the broader argument in the literature that technological and governance capabilities need to be strengthened concurrently for urban resilience to be effectively achieved (Sebsibe et al., 2023).

The study also highlights that while technological innovation is central to smart cities, it is not a panacea for achieving climate resilience. This finding corroborates earlier studies that argue that the successful integration of technology in urban planning depends on how well it is embedded within adaptive governance frameworks (Sari et al., 2020). In both Jakarta and Bangkok, the focus on short-term technological solutions such as flood monitoring or traffic management without long-term integration into climate adaptation strategies reflects a gap in understanding the role of technology as a tool for systemic change, rather than a standalone solution. Singapore's approach, in contrast, emphasizes the long-term vision of integrating technology into a broader urban resilience strategy (Lehtimäki et al., 2021). The city has aligned its smart infrastructure with climate adaptation goals, incorporating data systems that feed into flood mitigation, heat stress management, and urban planning. This highlights a key theoretical argument from resilience thinking (Mogale & Malatji, 2022), which posits that resilience requires not only reactive measures but also proactive strategies that engage technology, policy, and community resilience.

Another major theme emerging from the findings is the critical role of community engagement in cross-disciplinary urban planning. The research emphasizes that while top-down governmental initiatives are necessary, they should be complemented by bottom-up, participatory processes that involve local communities and civil society organizations. This finding aligns with participatory resilience theory (Davidson et al., 2016), which stresses that resilience is not just about technical solutions but also about empowering communities to adapt to climate change. In both Jakarta and Bangkok, civic-tech collaborations and community-driven digital platforms have emerged as significant contributors to urban resilience. These grassroots efforts mirror the work of researchers such as Evans et al. (2018), who argue that local knowledge and public participation can enhance the effectiveness of climate adaptation efforts. While Singapore's approach is more top-down and technocratic, there is growing recognition of the need to incorporate public participation, as evidenced by the country's recent initiatives to open data for public innovation. This shift in Singapore aligns with the broader trend of transitioning towards more inclusive smart cities, as noted by (Agdal et al., 2019).

In conclusion, this study contributes to the growing body of literature on smart cities and urban resilience by highlighting the importance of cross-disciplinary collaboration in shaping climate-resilient urban environments. It underscores the need for integrated governance frameworks that link technology, environmental science, and community participation. By comparing the experiences of Singapore, Jakarta, and Bangkok, this research emphasizes the role of institutional and community-based coordination in overcoming the challenges of climate change. While each city demonstrates unique strengths and challenges, their experiences offer valuable lessons on the complexity of integrating smart city technologies with climate adaptation strategies in the context of Southeast Asia. These findings not only extend existing theoretical frameworks but also offer practical insights for urban planners, policymakers, and researchers working to develop more resilient and sustainable cities.

CONCLUSION

This study has sought to explore the intersection of cross-disciplinary approaches, smart city development, and climate resilience in Southeast Asia. The research was driven by a concern that despite the growing emphasis on smart cities as solutions to urban challenges, the integration of climate resilience into these initiatives remains inconsistent and fragmented, especially in rapidly urbanizing regions like Southeast Asia. The findings confirm that while cities such as Singapore demonstrate a strong model of integrated, multi-sectoral collaboration, cities like Jakarta and Bangkok face significant challenges in aligning technological innovations with climate adaptation frameworks. These challenges often stem from institutional fragmentation, bureaucratic inertia, and limited capacity for scaling up successful pilot projects. The study ultimately highlights that successful climate-resilient urban planning requires a more holistic approach one that is not only technologically advanced but also deeply rooted in coordinated governance and community engagement.

However, this study is not without its limitations. The case study approach, while offering rich, context-specific insights, may not fully capture the diversity of experiences across Southeast Asia or other regions with different political and socio-economic contexts. Furthermore, while the research focuses on qualitative data from interviews and document analysis, it lacks a quantitative component that could offer a broader generalizability or statistical validation of the observed patterns. Future research could benefit from a comparative study that incorporates both qualitative and quantitative methods, such as surveys or policy impact assessments, to evaluate the long-term effectiveness of cross-disciplinary approaches in fostering climate resilience. Additionally, expanding the scope to include

more cities from different socio-political contexts within Southeast Asia and beyond would offer valuable insights into how resilience frameworks can be adapted and scaled to diverse urban settings.

In conclusion, this study contributes to a deeper understanding of how cross-disciplinary collaborations in smart city planning can address the pressing challenges of climate change in Southeast Asia. It advocates for a shift towards more integrated, inclusive, and adaptive urban planning processes that go beyond technological innovation to encompass governance, community participation, and long-term climate adaptation strategies. Future research should further investigate the evolving role of civic-tech initiatives, the effectiveness of policy integration, and the scaling of resilient practices across urban landscapes.

REFERENCES

Agdal, R., Midtgård, I. H., & Meidell, V. (2019). Can asset-based community development with children and youth enhance the level of participation in health promotion projects? A qualitative meta-synthesis. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *16*(19), 3778.

Akinwamide, T. K. E., & Oguntade, F. M. (2023). Facilitating Independent and Collective Writing Skill Proficiency: The Think-Pair-Share Strategy Involvement. *European Journal of Linguistics*, *2*(1). https://doi.org/10.47941/ejl.1196

Angelidou, M., Psaltoglou, A., Komninos, N., Kakderi, C., Tsarchopoulos, P., & Panori, A. (2018). Enhancing sustainable urban development through smart city applications. *Journal of Science and Technology Policy Management*, *9*(2), 146–169.

Asfahani, A., Tono, M., & Sain Zohaib Hassan. (2023). Land Optimization to Improve the Economy through Attractive Tourist Destinations in Smart City Indonesia. *International Assulta of Research and Engagement (IARE)*, *1*(2), 87–98.

Beiderbeck, D., Frevel, N., von der Gracht, H. A., Schmidt, S. L., & Schweitzer, V. M. (2021). Preparing, conducting, and analyzing Delphi surveys: Cross-disciplinary practices, new directions, and advancements. *MethodsX*, *8*, 101401.

Caliandro, A., Gui, M., Di Leva, A., & Sturiale, V. (2021). Smartphone overuse in the old age: A qualitative exploration on actual smartphone use and perceptions among Italian older heavy users. *Human Aspects of IT for the Aged Population. Technology Design and Acceptance: 7th International Conference, ITAP 2021, Held as Part of the 23rd HCI International Conference, HCII 2021, Virtual Event, July 24–29, 2021, Proceedings, Part I*, 361–378.

Dortmans, B., Diener, S., Verstappen, B., & Zurbrügg, C. (2017). *Black Soldier Fly Biowaste Processing - A Step-by-Step Guide*. Eawag: Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology.

García-Peñalvo, G. (2016). Future Trends in the Design Strategies and Technological Affordances of E-Learning. *Springer*, 1–23. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-17727-4

Hussain, S., Ahonen, V., Karasu, T., & Leviäkangas, P. (2023). Sustainability of smart rural mobility and tourism: A key performance indicators-based approach. *Technology in Society*, 102287.

Jiang, J. C., Kantarci, B., Oktug, S., & Soyata, T. (2020). Federated learning in smart city sensing: Challenges and opportunities. *Sensors*, *20*(21), 6230.

Latief, H., & Nashir, H. (2020). Local dynamics and global engagements of the Islamic modernist movement in contemporary Indonesia: The case of Muhammadiyah (2000-2020). *Journal of Current Southeast Asian Affairs*, *39*(2), 290–309.

Lehtimäki, J., Thorsen, J., Rasmussen, M. A., Hjelmsø, M., Shah, S., Mortensen, M. S., Trivedi, U., Vestergaard, G., Bønnelykke, K., & Chawes, B. L. (2021). Urbanized microbiota in infants, immune constitution, and later risk of atopic diseases. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology*, *148*(1), 234–243.

Maksum, A. (2017). Discourses on Islam and democracy in Indonesia: A study on the intellectual debate between Liberal Islam network (JIL) and Hizbut Tahrir Indonesia (HTI). *Journal of Indonesian Islam*, *11*(2), 405–422. https://doi.org/10.15642/JIIS.2017.11.2.405-422

Mogale, M. L., & Malatji, K. S. (2022). Progressed Learners' Participation in Developing Curriculum Support Programmes: A Critical Pedagogy Approach. *E-Journal of Humanities, Arts and Social Sciences*, *October*, 475–487. https://doi.org/10.38159/ehass.20223105

Ninković, S. R., & Florić, O. Č. K. (2018). Transformational school leadership and teacher self-efficacy as predictors of perceived collective teacher efficacy: In *Educational Management Administration & Leadership* (Vol. 46, Issue 1, pp. 49–64). SAGE PublicationsSage UK: London, England. https://doi.org/10.1177/1741143216665842

Okunade, A. A., & Osmani, A. R. (2020). Effects of life expectancy on economic growth: new results using the flexible Box–Cox power transformation model. *Applied Economics Letters*, *27*(20), 1681–1684. https://doi.org/10.1080/13504851.2020.1713976

Putera, R. (2015). Implementasi Kebijakan Otonomi Daerah Bidang Pendidikan dalam Pencapaian "Millennium Development Goals" RONI EKHA PUTERA. In *MIMBAR* (Vol. 31, Issue 1).

Qodr, T. S., Efendi, A., & Musadad, A. A. (2021). Opportunities for Using Smartphones in the Digital Era to Facilitate Students in Learning Sociology in High Schools. *Journal of Education Technology*, *5*(2), 263–271.

Rachmawati, R., Haryono, E., & Rohmah, A. A. (2021). Developing smart city in the new capital of Indonesia. *2021 IEEE International Smart Cities Conference (ISC2)*, 1–7.

Rumere, V., Sugiyanto, C., & Sulistyaningrum, E. (2022). The Impact of Special Autonomy on Education and Health Outcomes. *JEJAK*, *15*(1), 114–138. https://doi.org/10.15294/jejak.v15i1.32301

Saputra, E. J., Fransiska, F., Dina, L. K., Sihombing, O. M., & Eric, M. (2023). Educational Music and Sounds Through the Lens of Theodor Adorno and Immanuel Kant. *Journal Neosantara Hybrid Learning*, *1*(2), 154–172.

Sari, S. Y. I., Faisal, M., Raksanagara, A. S., Agustian, D., & Rusmil, K. (2020). Water quality and factors associated with compliance of drinking water refilling stations as a choice for middle-low urban households in developing countries. *Journal of Water and Environment Technology*, *18*(1), 27–36. https://doi.org/10.2965/jwet.19-037

Sebsibe, A. S., Argaw, A. S., Bedada, T. B., & Mohammed, A. A. (2023). Swaying pedagogy: A new paradigm for mathematics teachers education in Ethiopia. *Social Sciences and Humanities Open*, *8*(1), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2023.100630

Stephenson, L. (2023). Collective creativity and wellbeing dispositions: Children's perceptions of learning through drama. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, *47*(November 2022), 101188. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsc.2022.101188

White, S., & Gibson, M. (2019). *Reassessing attachment theory in child welfare: A critical appraisal*. Policy Press.